

## PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING SCORES TO PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE COC PR-502 (2013)

The process for assigning scores to the projects submitted will be carried out in accordance with the NOFA of HUD for 2013-14 (p. 35-48). Points will be assigned to the projects in accordance with the criteria established by HUD. The Projects will be included in Tier 1 or Tier 2. The evaluation process will be carried out by the Evaluation and Monitoring Sub-Committee of the CoC PR-502, and presented to the CoC Board of Directors for approval, and to the plenary of the CoC for ratification prior to including the projects in the Consolidated Application of the CoC for 2013-14.

Criteria	Score
<b>Employment and Income</b>	<b>Up to 4 points</b>
A minimum of 10% of the participants of the Project obtain employment.	(2)
A minimum of 20% of the participants obtain other income.	(2)
<b>Access to Mainstreamed Resources</b>	<b>Up to 6 points</b>
A minimum of 35% of the participants obtain benefits prior to discharge.	(2)
A minimum of 65% of the participants will have mainstreamed benefits upon discharge from the Project.	(2)
The percent of participants who obtain mainstreamed benefits upon discharge is greater than the percent obtaining said benefits the prior year of the Project.	(2)
<b>Movement from Transitional Housing to Permanent Housing (for Transitional Housing Projects only)</b>	<b>Up to 6 points</b>
A minimum of 65% of the participants of Transitional Housing move to Permanent Housing.	(2)
A minimum of 80% of participants who were in Transitional Housing for six (6) months or longer move to Permanent Housing.	(2)
The percent of participants who moved from Transitional Housing to Permanent Housing increased compared to the prior year.	(2)

**OR**

<b>Participants who remained in Permanent Housing (for Permanent Housing Projects only)</b>	<b>Up to 6 points</b>
A minimum of 65% of the participants of Transitional Housing who moved to Permanent Housing remained in PH placement for six (6) months or more.	(2)
A minimum of 60% of all participants placed in Permanent Housing remained in PH placement for six (6) months or more.	(2)
The percent of participants who remained in permanent housing for six (6) or more increased compared to the prior year.	(2)
<b>Leveraging of Non-CoC Funds</b>	<b>Up to 5 points</b>
The Project proposal evidences 200% of non-CoC leveraging costs.	(5)
The Project evidences 150% of non-CoC leveraging costs.	(3)
<b>Project Expenditures</b>	<b>2 points</b>
100% of the project funds were spent within the last project contractual period with HUD.	(2)
<b>HMIS (based on HMIS data the day of the HIC of 2013)</b>	<b>Up to 5 points</b>
Bed occupancy data reflects that 85% or more of beds occupied (Domestic Violence projects are exempt).	(3)
Project data reflects less than 10% of null values.	(1)
Project data shows less than 10% of the participants who refused to answer or who have no information.	(1)
<b>Homeless Point-In-Time Count</b>	<b>1 point</b>
Project participated in the 2013 Homeless Point-In-Time Count.	(1)
<b>Bed Inventory Table</b>	<b>1 point</b>
Project submitted information on the Bed Inventory of 2013 by the established deadline.	(1)
<b>Total Score:</b>	<b>30</b>